connection with his letter No 976 dated 7/4/96, regarding the transfer to the Nanjangod Taluk of Aldur and Navalur from the Chamarajanagar Taluk and of Haropur and Kahalli from the T. Narsipur Taluk, which will be explained in the following para.

B. The Asst Superintendent of the Mysore Revenue Survey [redacted] his letter No 302. D 24/6/96. proposed that the Sarvamanya villages of Aldur and Navalur, which, though belonging to the Chamarajanagar Taluk, are not territorially situated in the same, might be transferred to the T. Narsipur Taluk.

Taluk, and the proposal being recommended[x] by the Survey Superintendent also, the Dy Comr was requested[y] to give his opinion on the subject.

In reply the Dy Comr stated[x] as follows:—

i. The villages of Aldur and Navalur are two out of the 14 Inam villages belonging to the Chamarajeswara Temple at Chamaraja-nagar.

ii. All the 14 villages are in one Taluk and under the management of the Amildar thereof, who says that though the two villages of Aldur and Navalur are farther

x Vide paper No 1 of Sec B.

y " " " 2 "

x Vide paper No 5 of Sec

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 49 of 1898

191 .

(LR.)

Serial Nos. 5, 6, 8, 11 to 17.

Later references.

LR 40-00.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5, 6, 8, 11 to 17.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Transfer of certain Villages from Chamaraja nagar TK to T. Narasipura and Vice Versa.

W. L. 88—GPE—50,000—24-7-16

Indexed LR.

referred to by the Dy. Comr was a small matter as compared with the desirability of obtaining convenient and natural boundaries.

Vide paper No 9 of Sec B.

Whereupon the Govt. observed that the administrative inconvenience, pleaded by the Dy Comr, of managing the Inam villages of the temple could not be lightly overlooked and that it would be desirable that the villages of Aldur and Navalur should remain attached to the Chamarajanagar Taluk, in which the bulk of the temple Jahagir is situated, and suggested that in order to bring the two said villages in territorial connection with the Chamarajanagar

rajanagar Taluk, the village of Ganganur (C.I.) which is attached to the Nanjangud Taluk and which intervenes between the said villages and the Chamarajanagar Taluk, might be transferred to the latter. It was further proposed that the villages of Naropur and Kahalli of the T. Narsipur Taluk might be transferred to Nanjangud, if the village of Gajjiganhalli is already in the latter. The Dy Comr and the Survey Superintendent were then requested to make their observations if any, on these proposals and also to state whether the proposals involved any necessity of splitting up existing Shan-

b.

Office Note.

Subject (A). Regarding the transfer of the villages of Bagali, Demahalli and Jannur belonging to Col. J. Dese Raj Ars, from the Chamarajanagar Taluk to the T. Narsipur Taluk and

(B.) the transfer of the Sarvamanya villages of Aldur and Savalur from the Chamarajanagar Taluk to the T. Narsipur Taluk.

Last reference, The Dy Comrs report No 365 dated 19th August 1876.

(A.) 1. At the request of Col J. Dese Raj Ars, the Dy Comr of the Nilgiris Dt.* recommended the transfer of the three villages of Bagali, Demahalli and Jannur from the Chamarajanagar Taluk to the T. Narsipur Taluk on the following

* Vide paper No 1. of sec A.

Submitted with a Consolidated Sketch
24/9/96

Submitted to Secy GM
24/9/96

following grounds :—

i. They are nearer to the latter Taluk than to the former.

ii By transferring them they will come under the jurisdiction of the Police Station at Mugar which is nearer to them than the station at Kuder to which they are, at present, attached.

iii The villagers will have the facility of going to the Taluk Cutchery and returning to their homes on the same day.

4. On a reference to the × Vide paper No 2. of the A. Survey Superintendent, that Officer stated that there √ " " × 3 of " was no objection to the transfer but that the matter was to be considered in connection

farther from Chamara-
janagar than from T.
Narsipur, no inconveni-
ence has been felt by
the people thereof.

iii) The 14 villages referred
to have been divided into
two hobalis (Ummathur
and Byadarapur) and
a Shekdar is appointed
to each of them, their
pay being met from
the temple funds.

iv. The proposed transfer
is therefore likely to upset
the said arrangements
and, consequently
cannot be recommend-
ed.

5. The Dy. Comrs report was
forwarded to the Survey
Superintendent and he was
asked

× Vide No 6 of see B.

Jubbulpore 2nd Oct.

Please see the letter received in this office.

(1) Jamnur, Bagalé & Dewahalli cannot conveniently be transferred to T. Narsipur until after the Kaveri Fishing Festival, Mavalli & Albur are also between Jamnur & Bagalé. As regards Jamnur, there is no difficulty. But the case of the other two is different. They are a part of the Villages attached to the Chamarajnagar temple and their transfer is likely to lead to inconvenience

(2) If the division of Shanabhag charge is necessary, Hanchipalli & Dewahalli may go to Mangalagi de to which Talcad & Gellagudalli already belong. On this point Collector may be consulted - G

28/10/06

Final orders may be passed as follows:

Jamnur Bagalé & Dewahalli will remain as heretofore attached to Chamarajnagar for administrative purposes. Their transfer to T. Narsipur will involve much inconvenience as the boundaries of Chamarajnagar & T. Narsipur Taluqs cannot be conveniently arranged to comprise whole and continuous blocks. This fact maybe explained to Rajaram, & any further representation he may make may be rejected as time

Mysore 1st [illegible] 07.

12

Mr Shaukat is
is of comparative
& much info
& cannot be a
to prevent an
satisfactory
of villages
different
Kalkalli &
should
be transfer
to Nanyang
Sunagaon
transferred
Champaga
Aldin & Na
will as here
remain
to the Champ
valley.

4/10/96

Dragon seen
in Lunch

5-0-99

Camp Kk 5/10/96

N 5/10/96

Submitted with
amn
6/10/96

asked to give his further opinion on the subject. The Survey Superintendent replied,× that the retention of six villages in a Taluk in which they are not territorially situated impair the value of the Taluk Maps,

ii. that instead of transferring Aldur and Navalur to the T. of Narsipur Taluk, as was at first proposed, it would be more convenient to transfer the two said villages together with the villages of Haropur and Kahalli of the T. of Narsipur Taluk to the Nanjangud Taluk and iii that the upsetting of the arrangement referred

× Vide his letter No 996 Dt 7/8/96. & paper No 7 of Sec B and also the three sketches contained therein.

boys charge.

7. The Superintendent of Revenue Survey states in his reply* that the transfer of Gangaur to the Chamarajanagar Taluk certainly brings Alur and Navalur in a continuous boundary line but in this case the proposal of transferring Bagali, Jammur and Kemahalli to the T. Narsipur Taluk must be given up; because, if the latter are transferred, they will in their turn disconnect Alur, Navalur and Gangaur from the Chamarajanagar Taluk.

* Vide his letter No 84 D/ 15th July 96.

8. The D.y Conr.* states that a Gangaur is a Shanbog's charge

* Vide his letter No 308 dated 19th August 1896.

by itself, its transfer does not affect the existing firkas, but as Harapur and Kahalli together with the three villages of Dasnaikanpura, Koodalur and Baunahalli, form a single Shanbhogi charge, the transfer of the first two villages involves a division of it. This letter may be sent to the Sub Dy Revenue Officer with reference to para 5 of his letter No 51 of 15th July 1896. Send with draft.

Note. Along with the proposal of transferring Eldur and Navalur, it was also proposed that Kempanpur and Kannagal might be transferred to the Chamarajanagar Taluk, which has been sanctioned × in Govt Notn No 9863 R. 3740 dt 30/4/96.

× Vide No 8 of Sec B.

Circulated for orders. Subd to Secy

8/9/96 9/9/96 11/9/96

3

Euclids

(16)

Scale 2 Miles = 1 Inch.



(General Serial No. 30.)

(3)

189 6.

7797
R 5454

From

(18) The Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan Revenue Govern. of Mysore. ment

Dated

Despatched Bangalore 15th April 1896

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 996
No.

In reply to Revenue Secretary's Memo No

SUBJECT. 9085 / R 3509 of 1.4.96

Submitting opinion on the subject of transfer of certain Inam villages from Bhamrajnagar to T. Narsipur Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rev. 15/4/96

Gen. 31]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
189 6 No. 7332
R No. 5078/96
30 MAR. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Section R
File No 49-95
Serial No 5

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev. & Genl. Department

Dated 24 March 96.

Despatched

Received

General No.
No. 1201

Enclosure No. one

Recommends the transfer
of Surramanya Bagli, Jod
Oemakalli and Jemmi village
from the Chamarajnagar
taluk to the T. Narsipur taluk

Rev
3073/56
Submitted for orders.
Sanctioned, the Division
of the Inspl. Establishment
may be returned entire surgeon
RD
30/3/96
Yes. Sanctioned
RD 31/3/96

No. 1201
Ele. 1

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Ellijore District
6

Dated Ellijore 24th March 1896.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Ellijore
Rev & Genl. Department.

Sir,

Col. J. Desarajurs is the holder of Surumanya Bagali and Jodi Dena-halli and Jemmur villages in the Chamarajnagur taluk. He asks for the transfer of these 3 villages from the Chamarajnagur to the T. Narsipur taluk on the ground that they are nearer to the latter than to the former. Surumanya Bagali is 12 miles from Chamarajnagur and 7 miles from T. Narsipur. Jodi Denahalli is 10 miles from Chamarajnagur and 9½ miles from T. Narsipur. Jodi Jemmur is 12½ miles from Chamarajnagur and 7½ miles from T. Narsipur. They are now attached to the Police station at Kudé which is 5½ miles from Jemmur, 3¼ from Bagli and 2¼ from Denahalli. By the

transfer of these villages to the J. Nanjangud Taluk they will come within the jurisdiction of the Ellegur Police Station which is only 2¼ miles from Bagli, 4 miles from Devahalli and 4½ miles from Jemmur. The Inamdar states that if the proposed transfer is effected the villagers will have the facility of going to the Taluk cutchery and returning to their homes the same day. "Under the above circumstances" I recommend that the transfer asked for may be sanctioned. A rough sketch showing the distances between these villages and Taluk head quarters is herewith submitted for ready reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

C. Venkatarangiya

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

Compd. MM
Tily.

7

correspondence (21) should be viewed in conjunction with the case reported in my letter No 976 (See B.7) dated 4th inst so that a final adjustment of boundaries at this portion should be arrived at. Enclosure is returned.

Which Mayor Town Clerk Hampton Town Village or Champaign ?

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed. Servant
H Hunt
Supt Mysore Revenue Survey

(12)

2 N 9 "

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dt

(24)

Dy 7th July 1896

No. 106 / R 28

Section
File No.
Serial No. 19

Bagalur
Devanahalli
Tenancies.

His letter No. 1201 dated 24th March 1896 recommending the transfer of the villages named in the margin belonging to Colonel J Dease By Lies from the Chamrajnagar to the Narsipur Taluk.

"

With reference to the above I have the honor to request the Deputy Commissioner will be so good as to reply at an early date to para 2 of Pro: No: (Camp) 221-2 dated 26th April 1896.

By Order
[illegible]
7/7/96
for Secy

4(2)

Draft no Govt order

Here copy letter No 1201 of 24th March 1896 from the Dy Commr, Mysore District recommending the transfer from the Channarayapatna to the J. Vaisnavas Taluk of the Samanamangam Bagalli and Jodi Dennikalli and Jennur villages belonging to Lieut Col Dcarey Wro.

Copy of the above together with the Sketch received therewith is forwarded to the Supdt of Revenue Survey for favor of opinion in the matter

"By order"

1/4/96

for Secretary

1/4/96

Section K.R.
File No 47 of 95
Serial No 6

No 1203 dt 1-4-96
R 3509 6

* to be obtained & returned.

Section
File No
Serial No 8

No 996

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 15th April 1896

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir

With reference to your

Memo No 9083 dated 1st

R 3509

instant I have the honor

to forward copy of Memo

No 255 dated 13th idem from

the J. L. Collector Assistant

Superintendent, Mysore Revenue

Survey

line to the Chamrajnagar Taluk can be obtained. But this can only be done if the transfer (see Memo from Dewan's Office No. 9083 / R 3509 dated 1st April 1896 forwarding copy of Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 1201 of the 24th March 1896) of the 3 villages of Jammur, Bigali & Damballi the property of Colonel J. Desaraj Urs be given up.

5 Shanbags charges, the villages being all Inam, will not

not have to be split up. (34)

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant
J. B. Smart
Supdt Mysore Revenue
Survey

survey with map on the pro-
posed transfer of the 3 vil-
lages of Sarai Sidhu, Bigahi
Jodi Darwabadli and
Jamuria villages from the
Chamrajnagar to the Sidhu-
Kanhaiya Talukas. There
seems no objection to the
transfer of these villages as
proposed but I would
suggest that the present
correspondence

No 255

Bangalore 13th April 1876

The undersigned begs to repo

that Jodi Jammur and Sarur Inam Bijur

are situated on the line of boundary

separating T. Narasipur and Channay

- nagar Talaks, and Jodi Dimmuri -

adjoins Bijur on the South. There -

appears to be no objection to the transfe

of these villages to T. Narasipur Talu

but the effect of such transfer would

be to place the detached villages of -

Mavalu and Adur still further from

the

2 Draft as per order
Read: (9) Subject to Secy's Ltr 11/10/96

Correspondence ending with letter No: 368 dated the 19th August 1896 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District anent the proposed transfer of the marginally noted Inam villages belonging to Col. J. Desa Raj Urs from Chamrajnagar Taluk to T. Narasipur Taluk and (2) of certain villages to and from between the Chamrajnagar Nanjangud and T. Narasipur Taluks.

(43)

Bagalur
Demahalli
Jammur

No. 3988-89 0125-10-96.
R.7.148-95-3

Order:— The villages of Jammur, Bagli and Demahalli will remain as heretofore attached to Chamrajnagar. The administrative inconvenience now brought to notice as the ground

ground for their transfer to T. Narasipur Taluk is scarcely appreciable. The distances between them and Chamrajnagar are not much more than those to T. Narasipur. — Their transfer to the latter Taluk involves much inconvenience as the boundaries of the two Talukes cannot be conveniently arranged so as to comprise whole continuous blocks. This fact may be explained to Col. J Desaraj Urs and any further representation he may make may be reported for the orders of Government.

The splitting of

Rev 15/10/16

Mr Ramachar please give me a comparative statement showing distances. The Dy. C. will write accordingly to do so. If they are not in camp, you may refer to the Dy. C.

Mr Ramachar
Statement
N V

the Lobi boundary

and this would be an additional reason for

transferring the villages just mentioned to

Banjaugal Lobi, proposals regarding

which have already been submitted to

Government.

2. The accompanying map

shows the position of the villages referred

to in the correspondence.

(signed) J. L. Mackay

Asstt Supt Upper Prome

survey

"Copy"

Supt Upper Prome

survey

25 Drafts as per orders

No. 4041 & 25.10.96

R.F. 148-95

Notification

(48)



The following transfer of Villages are ordered:

Name of Village	From what Taluk	To what Taluk
1 Kahalli 2 Haropura	T. Narasipura	Nanjangud
3 Ganagnur	Nanjangud	Chamarajnagar

By Order

11/10/96 Secretary 25-10-96

To. 6/10/96

The Compiler Mysore Gazette for publication in English and Canarese in 3 successive issues of the Gazette.



V. d. Sammlung Nr. 96 d. 15/4/16

T. Narsipur

Aldur
S.I.

Navlur
S.I.

Nanjangud Taluk

Kollegal Taluk

Mugur

Jannur
J.

Bagli
S.I.

Demahalli
J.

Section 49-95
File No
Serial No 10

No 107 V
R29

Rasuli
Samakki
Jammu.

L 6

Misc Head
The Accounts reply
Mis Off No 106 dt 17.7.96
is awaited
21
18/7/96

Revenue Department

Dft
28/6

DEWAN'S OFFICE
1896 Gl. No. 2-3 R No. 238

From

The Superintendent,

Mysore Revenue Survey.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 15th July 1896

Received

General No. 51
No.

Enclosure No. 2

On reply to Secretary's
(C No 4 Misc 4)
Docket No 107 dated 7th
R29 Instant.

Submitting report
on the question of transferring
certain villages to and fro
between the Channapatna
Nanjangud and Tumkur
Tks &c &c

Mr. Depts
15.7.96

Sahib
18/7.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE 13

No 51



Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 15th July 1896

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge
the receipt of your Docket No 107
R 29.
(S.R. 4 of Sec A) dated 9th Instant calling for
further report on the subject of
(S.R. 7 of Sec B) Para 2 of Proceedings No 221-2
dated 26th April 1896.

2 I submit a sketch map
which clearly illustrates the
question

question of transferring certain villages to and fro between the Chamarajnagar, Nanjangud and T. Narsipur taluks.

3 The allegation in the 1st sentence of the instant application the map above alluded to and contains certain proposals.

4 If said Inam Gangavara is transferred to Chamarajnagar then the Taluk villages of Attur and Nandur can remain in the same Taluk and a continuous boundary

line

No 17

Bangalore 7th July 1896

The undersigned begs to

state that the transfer of Sarra Gram

Ganigas from Nanjangud to Chama-

-rajanagar Taluk, will certainly bring

Alur and Nanjala within a continuous

boundary line, but the proposal of the

Mysore Commissioner to transfer Gum

Bagali and Sindhali, to N. Narsipur Taluk

must be given up, for the effect of

such transfer would be to again dis-

-connect Alur and Nanjala from

the circumscribing boundary line.

2. The villages of Kovilpatti and Kalalli of T. Nagalapuram Taluk should be transferred to Nanjanagud Taluk.

A glance at the accompanying sketch will show clearly the effect of the proposed transfers

(Signed) J. L. Markay
Assistant Supt. Mysore
Revenue Survey

"Lepsy"
Supt. Mysore Revenue
Survey


Háropur
Kailasapur halli
Aldur
S.I.
Kahalli
Sujlur
Vátálu
Navalur
S.I.
Ganagnur
S.I.
Jannur
J.
Bágali
S.I.
Demhalli
J.
Chamrajnagar
T. Narsipur
Nanjangud



20

No. 366.
Enclosures No. —

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 9th August 1895.

7

Section A Miscl
File No 42-95
Serial No 84
To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of Government order Camp No 22/-2 dated the 25th April 1896 (S.R. 9 78 B) enquiring whether the transfer of the inam villages ordered therein, as per margin involves any necessity for adjusting the existing Shanbhog charges, I beg to state that as Sanagana Pura is a Shanbhog's charge by itself, its transfer does not affect the existing fixtures. But as Harapura and Kodalli (proposed to be transferred from the Sr. Srinivasapura to the Nanjangud taluk) together with the three villages of Danaikanapura, Koodlapura and Bannahalli form a single Shanbhog's charge their transfer involves a division of it.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt Servant

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

Compd.
Wdy

Sanagana Pura — from the Ranganathapura to the Channapatna taluk.
Harapura & Kodalli — from the Srinivasapura to the Nanjangud taluk.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 1471
C1028 1896-97
24 AUG 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 19th
Despatched } August 1896 —
Received

Enclosures No. —

No. 366

Reporting that the transfer of Siddapur Sanyasimath does not involve the splitting of Sringeri Shakha, but that of Nidigal and Kodile does involve a diversion

Rev
24/8
Copy 24/8

Rec'd 25/8

Submitted for perusal and draft.
8/9/96

No. 368
Enclosures No. ——

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 17th August 1896.

Section
File No
Serial No
To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

(Transplant). Adverting to your docket No. 866 R. 28 dated the 7th July 1896, regarding the proposed transfer of the villages noted in the margin, from the Channarayapatna to the Arsikere Maisipur taluk, I beg to state that a reply to para 2 of the Government order therein quoted has been submitted vide this office letter No. 366 dated the 17th August 1896.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt. servant,
[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

Compare.

Belonging to Colonel K. Beauraj Urs

Surveyor Gram Bagadi
Asst Sherishtadar
Asst Jemmar.

C
200

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 19th
Despatched } August 1896.
Received

Enclosures None

No. 368

Stating that a reply in regard to the proposed transfer of certain villages from the Channarayapatna to the T. Narsipur taluk will be submitted this day.

Respectfully
Submitted
Deputy Commissioner

Respectfully.
25/8.

4

ice Channarayas charge is of comparatively minor importance and cannot be allowed to ~~prevent~~ [illegible] an otherwise satisfactory grouping of villages under different Taluks. Kathalli and Haroplur should accordingly be transferred to Nanjangud, Ganagnur being transferred to Chamrajnagar. The villages of Aldur and Navalur will as heretofore remain attached to the Chamrajnagar Taluk.

11/10/96

~~Approved & Notified in the Local Paper~~

~~Executive~~ 11/10

Secretary

11/10/96

To,

6/10/96

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

The Superintendent Revenue